

Wed, March 9 - Day 19

①

## Topic 8 - Branch and Bound (continued)

General Procedure: *the search space or some subspace*

```
function bb( $S$ , best_sol = None) (maximizing)
    if best_sol is None:
        best_score =  $-\infty$ 
    else:
        best_score = score(best_sol)
        returns best sol in the subspace S

    if  $|S| = 1$ : (the subspace only has a single sol, so we check it)
        candidate = the one thing in  $S$ 
        value = score(candidate)
        if value > best_score:
            return candidate
        else:
            return best_sol
```

*base case*

*if candidate satisfies the constraints*

*# case where  $|S| > 1$*

$S_1, S_2 = \text{branch}(S)$

if bound( $S_1$ ) > best\_score:

best\_sol = bb( $S_1$ , best\_sol)

best\_score = score(best\_sol)

*maybe we could do better*

\*

→ if  $\text{bound}(S_2) > \text{best\_score}$ :  
 $\text{best\_sol} = \text{bb}(S_2, \text{best\_sol})$

return  $\text{best\_sol}$

remove the  
 "if"s, then  
 you get  
 backtracking

↑ either the  $\text{best\_sol}$  passed  
 in, or the best solution  
 in  $S$ , whichever is better

## Relaxation

Let's try to figure out a bound for the  
 knapsack problem.

Capacity: 14

item	weight	value
1	8	13
2	3	7
3	5	10
4	5	10
5	2	1
6	2	1
7	2	1

Branching: just like  
 before

capacity: 14  
 value: 7 → item 1 is in or out  
 → item 2 is in or out

Bound: Suppose we have  
 decided item 1 is out  
 and item 2 is in.

How can we find an upper bound on the best we could possibly do with the rest of the solution?

- \* Greedy sol is not an UB, it's a LB.

- \* "Add up the value of everything remaining is technically an UB, but a not very good one.

- \* Computing the UB can't be too slow.

Capacity: 14

item	weight	value
<del>1</del>	<del>8</del>	<del>13</del>
2	3	7
3	5	10
4	5	10
5	2	1
6	2	1
7	2	1

The trick is relaxation.  
Sometimes it's easier to find an UB if you adjust the problem to be more permissible.

Fractional Knapsack: You are allowed to take fractions of items.

Capacity: 14					
item	weight	value	<u>take</u>		
1	8	13	0.5	50%	4 / 6.5
2	3	7	1	100%	3 / 7
3	5	10	1	100%	5 / 10
4	5	10	0.4	40%	2 / 4
5	2	1			
6	2	1			
7	2	1			
					<hr/> 14 / 27.5

Theorem: A greedy and optimal solution to the Fractional Knapsack problem can be found by:

(1) Order the items by  $\frac{\text{value}}{\text{weight}}$ ,  
decreasing

(2) take items from the top in full,  
until you can't anymore

(3) take whatever fraction of the  
next item that you can.

Capacity: 14						
item	weight	value	density	order		
1	8	13	1.625	④	125%	$1\frac{3}{8}$
2	3	7	2.333	①	100%	3
3	5	10	2	②	100%	5
4	5	10	2	③	100%	5
5	2	1	0.5	⑤		
6	2	1	0.5	⑥		
7	2	1	0.5	⑦		
					<hr/> 28.625	

If capacity = 10, you get an optimal score of 21, which beats the optimal score of 20 for the regular knapsack problem with the same items.

$$\text{Fractional Greedy} = \text{Fractional Optimal} \geq \text{Regular Optimal}$$

Therefore, we can get an UB for the regular knapsack problem by computing the greedy fractional solution on the remaining items.

Capacity: 14

item	weight	value
<del>1</del>	<del>8</del>	<del>13</del>
2	3	7
3	5	10
4	5	10
5	2	1
6	2	1
7	2	1

capacity = 11  
value = 7

densities

2	100%
2	100%
1/2	50%
1/2	
1/2	

5 items

capacity = ~~6~~ 1  
value:  $7 + 10 + 10 + \frac{1}{2} = 27.5$

Capacity = 10, B+B tree

Greedy sol: ~~18~~ 20 (most value-dense)

