MSSC 6000 $\left(\right)$ Feb 2, 2022 Announcements: -> HW 1 due next Wed on DZL Lecture 3 - Greedy Algorithms A lot of topics in this class are in the category of "problem solving paradigms". A catalogue of ways to approach new problems. "heuristics" What is a problem! You have input data and/or constraints. One question might be: -Is it possible to satisfy all of the constraints? Ex: Every year, the NFL has to come up with a season schedule.

There are many constraints! -32 teams in 2 conferences - Each conference is split into 4 divisions of 4 teoms each. Each team plays: - all 3 division rivals, twice one home / one away - each team in some other division in the same conference two home / two away -four teams in the other conference two have / two away - two more teams in their own conference IH/IA - stadium constraints - TV constraints - holiday gomes -bye week Q: Can this be done? A: Yes. The NFL says they use 100s of computers. They come up with ~1000 good schedules. Humans pick the best out of those.

- Another type of question: Which solution is optimal? (lowest cost, highest value, etc) Ex: If Amazon has 100 packages to deliver to different houses in Milwaukee, and 5 delivery vons, which route -uses the least gos? - travels the fewest miles? -types the least time? or some combination of these Greedy Algorithms Vague Definition: A greedy algorithm is a way of solving a problem that builds up a solution bit by bit, always picks the next bit that is the best, even if that leads to a suboptimal solution in the end. They are: - normally lightning fast - much better than random 50 lutions

-sometimes pretty bad, (4) sometimes pretty good, sometimes provably optimal, depending on the problem. Ex: Giving Change - How dues a cashier que change? Suppose you one \$3.27 and pay with \$20. They start giving you bills and coins from largest to smallest. \$20-\$3.27 = \$16.73 \$100 \$400 \$10 \$15 \$1 \$0.25 \$0.10 \$0005 1 1 1 a a \$0.01 3 6.73 1.73 0.73 0.23 0.03 0 -> 1 \$10, 1 \$5, 1 \$1, 2ds, 2Ds, 3Ps = 10 things. This is a greedy algorithm. Is it optimal? fewest # of bills/coins neorem: For the US currency denoms listed above, the cashier's algorithm is optimal. Theorem: For the US

Proof: To simplify things, let's assume denoms of 1, 5, 10, 25 cents. Suppose we are making x cents. Lemma: The optimal solution will have ≤ 4 pennies. Proof: If the sol had 25 Ps, we could replace SP with 1 N and get a better solution. Lemma: The optimal solution will have $\leq 1 N$. (same proof) Lemma: The optimal sol. will have $\#N + \#D \leq 2$ Proof: 2N: bad $1N+2D: bad \rightarrow 1Q$ ON+3D: bad ~> IN+1Q Main proof, by induction: Base case: O¢ sol: O coms optimal ~

Now assume we're making X 4 worth of change.

Casel: x 25, x pennies, optimal / (6) Case 2: 5 E x < 10, must have IN (because the lemma says no more than 4 pennies) 1 N+ (greedy sol. for x-5 cents) optimal by induction Cose 3: 10 = x 225, similar to Case 2 Case 4: x 225: must have 1Q (or else >4 Por>1 N or IN+2D, cr 3D) gol: 1Q+ (greedy sol for x-25 cents). Is the cashier's algo. optimal fer any set of denominations? No. Ex: US Postage Denominations 1, 2, 3, 5, 10, 20, 35, 36, 55, 65, 75, 95, 100, 120, 200, 500, 795, 1000, 2635. To make 724: 65+5+2 3 stamps Better: 36+36 = 72 2 stamps

Greedy 7 Optimal Throughout the course we're going to leave about a catalogue of problems that model all kinds of real world problems that you might face. Problem #1: Interval Scheduling