Friday, Feb 26 Lecture #15 <u>Ex 3</u>: Weighted Interval Scheduling Requests R=Zr, rz, rz, ... Z. -> You either accept or reject each request If you accept re, then in the future you can ignore all requests that conflict with it. r. [-----] {r. [-----} This is perfect for recursion. $R = \xi \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, ..., \Gamma_0 \xi$ R' = requests that don't $conflict with <math>\Gamma_1$ solve ({r, r, r, r, 3) return r,+solve(R') solve(R') rejectr, return Solve (Erz, rz, ..., rw3)

Pseudocode function solve (requests): # goal: return best solution that can be made from [requests] if len(requests)=0: return [] new_request = requests [0] compatible = requests that don't conflict with new_request accept_solution = [new_request] + solue(compatible) reject_solution = solve(requests[1:]) return whichever of accept_solution and reject_solution has the highest value Topic 8 - Branch and Bound (B+B) Our problems usually involve 2 considerations: (1) Constraints that must be satisfied ex: capacity of the knapsack Choosing requests that don't conflict row/col/square conditions of Sudoku

(2) A value/score that we want to minimize/maximize. Some problems are only about constraints. Some problems don't really have constraints. Ex: Minimum Spanning Tree Backtracking use <u>constraints</u> to save time. Never used score. Branch + Bound is just backtracking with an extra way to rule out a partial solution. * Assume maximizing from now on. * If I've already seen a complete solution with a score of X, and I know for sure that there is no way to complete some partial solution that beats X, then abandon it (stop expanding). There's no way to know exactly the best you can do to complete a partial solution.

Need: A way to get an upper bound on the best you could do when completing q portral solution. "I don't know how good I can do, but I know for sure I can't do better than Y." Have a sol. with a score of 30. UB:25 o Co No solution on this branch can beat 25. pruning Hard part: How to compute these kinds of bounds.